nature microbiology

Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01304-8

Metabolic heterogeneity and cross-feeding within isogenic yeast populations captured by DILAC

Received: 30 June 2022

Accepted: 13 December 2022

Published online: 16 February 2023

Check for updates

Stephan Kamrad (1^{1,2}, Clara Correia-Melo^{1,2}, Lukasz Szyrwiel (1^{1,2}, Simran Kaur Aulakh², Jürg Bähler (1^{3,3}, Vadim Demichev^{1,2}, Michael Mülleder⁴ & Markus Ralser (1^{1,2,5,6})

Genetically identical cells are known to differ in many physiological parameters such as growth rate and drug tolerance. Metabolic specialization is believed to be a cause of such phenotypic heterogeneity, but detection of metabolically divergent subpopulations remains technically challenging. We developed a proteomics-based technology, termed differential isotope labelling by amino acids (DILAC), that can detect producer and consumer subpopulations of a particular amino acid within an isogenic cell population by monitoring peptides with multiple occurrences of the amino acid. We reveal that young, morphologically undifferentiated yeast colonies contain subpopulations of lysine producers and consumers that emerge due to nutrient gradients. Deconvoluting their proteomes using DILAC, we find evidence for in situ cross-feeding where rapidly growing cells ferment and provide the more slowly growing, respiring cells with ethanol. Finally, by combining DILAC with fluorescence-activated cell sorting, we show that the metabolic subpopulations diverge phenotypically, as exemplified by a different tolerance to the antifungal drug amphotericin B. Overall, DILAC captures previously unnoticed metabolic heterogeneity and provides experimental evidence for the role of metabolic specialization and cross-feeding interactions as a source of phenotypic heterogeneity in isogenic cell populations.

Recent advances in single-cell biology increasingly shed light on heterogeneity among isogenic cells. For instance, individual cells heterogeneously express metabolic enzymes and stress-response genes, possibly indicating metabolic specialization and bet-hedging strategies¹⁻⁴. Heterogeneity does in fact appear to be pervasive and is emerging as a cellular modulator of phenotypes at the population level⁵⁻⁸. Moreover, heterogeneity at the single-cell level is associated with medically relevant antimicrobial tolerance and resistance phenotypes^{9,10}. Despite these advances, we lack a comprehensive understanding of the biological sources of heterogeneity. While it may have stochastic components, heterogeneity is also a selected property that promotes drug tolerance and can be advantageous for survival in stress

¹Department of Biochemistry, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany. ²Molecular Biology of Metabolism Laboratory, The Francis Crick Institute, London, UK. ³Institute of Healthy Ageing and Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, London, UK. ⁴Core Facility–High-Throughput Mass Spectrometry, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany. ⁵The Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ⁶Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, Berlin, Germany. imarkus.ralser@charite.de situations¹¹⁻¹⁵ because yeast cells isolated from more challenging environments show a higher degree of heterogeneity than those isolated from more constant environments^{16,17}.

An important metabolic property that can cause single-cell heterogeneity is the metabolic specialization of cells caused by exchange of metabolites. Both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells export a wide range of metabolites and can dynamically switch between self-synthesis and uptake of metabolites which, in turn, triggers wide-ranging physiological changes, alters gene expression on a genome-wide scale and affects stress and drug tolerance^{7,18-24}. Work with synthetic yeast communities has revealed the substantial potential of cells to engage in metabolite exchange interactions specifically involving amino acids^{25,26}. However, metabolic heterogeneity and metabolite exchange within isogenic subpopulations remain elusive and their investigation remains challenging in wild-type cells²⁷. By exploiting differential incorporation of stable isotope-labelled amino acids into protein, we were able to uncover extensive metabolic and phenotypic heterogeneity in undifferentiated, wild-type yeast colonies. The potential for the formation of diffusion gradients in media and within colonies makes these promising models with which to study heterogeneity. We find evidence for heterogeneous amino acid utilization and ethanol cross-feeding, and show that this differentially affects proteome, physiology and drug tolerance.

Results

A proteomics method for detection of metabolic subpopulations

To investigate the heterogeneous amino acid biosynthetic metabolism we relied on the well-characterized metabolism of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, focusing on lysine. Specifically we exploited the situation that, despite being a lysine prototroph, *S. cerevisiae* takes up this amino acid and incorporates it into proteins when present in medium^{28,29}. Indeed, lysine accumulates to higher levels intracellularly in consumers than in producers, with important consequences for stress resistance ('lysine harvesting'¹⁸). Moreover, in *S. cerevisiae* laboratory strains lysine is not metabolized as a nitrogen/carbon source³⁰, which enables the use of heavy isotopes without label leakage into wider carbon metabolism.

We started by characterizing the synthesis-to-uptake switch in response to externally available lysine. We found that in liquid medium, supplemented lysine was rapidly consumed by all cells until exhausted, at which point cells turned from being consumers to producers (Extended Data Fig. 1). Subsequently, we focused on yeast colonies as a model for naturally spatially structured growth. Yeast colonies were grown on synthetic minimal (SM) medium with 1% glucose as the sole carbon source and ammonium as nitrogen source, as well as different lysine concentrations (Fig. 1a). To distinguish lysine molecules obtained by endogenous synthesis versus uptake, we employed a ¹³C-labelling strategy where cells were fed fully labelled ¹³C glucose and ¹²C lysine. We chose to use labelled glucose rather than labelled lysine for economic reasons, and because it allows easy application of the workflow to any amino acid. Lysine producer cells synthesize lysine from glucose and will hence contain lysine with heavy carbons (13C), while lysine consumer cells take up lysine directly from the medium and contain light (¹²C) lysine. Whole colonies were collected, free intracellular amino acids extracted and the population-wide ratio of labelled:unlabelled lysine was determined using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/ MS)³¹. With increasing supplement concentrations, a larger fraction of the intracellular lysine is obtained by import rather than synthesis (Supplementary Dataset 1 and Fig. 1b; see Extended Data Table 1 for an overview of datasets generated in this study). Supplement concentrations in the micromolar range were sufficient to largely suppress synthesis and result in cells obtaining lysine essentially only by import, while a lysine concentration of approximately 100 µM resulted in half of the intracellular lysine in the population being obtained by import and the other by self-synthesis.

The latter could have resulted from two different scenarios where either (1) cells behave homogeneously, with all obtaining some lysine by import and some by synthesis or (2) subpopulations emerge, with some cells synthesizing lysine and others consuming it (Fig. 1c). To distinguish between the two scenarios we exploited the incorporation of labelled lysine into proteins and devised a method relying on peptides containing exactly two lysine residues. In a homogeneous population, cells contain a mix of produced and imported lysine molecules and the number of labelled lysine residues in a peptide should follow binomial distribution: in other words, in the case of a 1:1 ratio of synthesis and uptake, peptides with one out of two lysine residues labelled are expected to be twice as abundant as fully labelled or unlabelled peptides. If there are distinct producer and consumer subpopulations, these mixed-labelling states should be depleted and peptides should be either fully labelled or unlabelled (Fig. 1c).

Young colonies contain producer and consumer subpopulations

To investigate whether yeast colonies contain lysine producer and consumer subpopulations, we used SM agar medium with isotope-labelled glucose (13 C) supplemented with four different lysine (12 C) concentrations ranging from 20 to 400 μ M and grew colonies for 28, 48 and 68 h. Throughout this time course, growth of colonies took place (Fig. 2a). Proteins of whole colonies were then extracted and digested into peptides using protease gluC and subjected to targeted LC–MS/MS analysis.

We determined the relative abundance of producer, consumer and mixed-labelling states of five abundant and reliably measured peptides with exactly two lysine residues each (Methods, Supplementary Dataset 2 and Extended Data Fig. 2a). We illustrate these states averaged across the top three suitable fragments, the five peptides and two biological replicates (Fig. 2b), as well as example data obtained from the LC-MS/ MS experiment (Fig. 2c). With rising lysine concentration an increasing fraction of lysine residues was light (12C), which indicates cells obtaining lysine by import rather than synthesis (concordant with Fig. 1b). The ratio of consumer, producer and mixed peptides was approximately stable over the three timepoints. Strikingly, the mixed-labelling state, expected if cells synthesize part of their lysine and uptake another part, was rare compared with uniform-labelling states. This can be quantified by comparison with expected relative abundances under the homogenous model: for colonies supplemented with 200 µM lysine and harvested after 48 h, the overall fraction of imported (¹²C) residues across all labelling states was 62.5%. From this, one can compute an expected abundance of 46.9% for mixed-labelling states using a simple Bernoulli model (Methods). This is approximately three times higher than the observed abundance of 15.0%, indicating that a homogeneous model cannot account for these data (Fig. 2d); in other words, our data indicate that the individual cell either produces or consumes lysine and that mixed states are not abundant.

To rule out experimental artefacts we determined labelling states using three alternative approaches. First, similar labelling ratios were quantified from intact precursors without resolving the two mixed-labelling states (Extended Data Fig. 2b). Second, we quantified labelling states from tryptic digests using missed cleavage peptides, removing the need for the less common protein digestion with protease gluC (Extended Data Fig. 2c). Third, congruent results were obtained when peptides with three lysine residues were investigated (Extended Data Fig. 2e). We additionally conducted similar experiments with three other amino acids and observed producer/consumer subpopulations for two of these (leucine and phenylalanine, but not asparagine; Extended Data Fig. 3). Hence, our data paint a cohesive picture where subpopulations of cells within colonies either consume or produce lysine, leucine or phenylalanine.

We noted a relatively high variation in the labelling data (expressed as error bars in Fig. 2b) and set out to determine its source. Plotting peptides and replicates individually for the 200 μ M supplementation



of metabolic subpopulations. Measurement of the population-averaged labelling state of free amino acids cannot determine the metabolic mode adopted by putative subpopulations. A population-level fraction of imported concentration, we noted that the replicates agree very closely and that the variation noted emerges at the peptide level (Fig. 2e). Since most of these peptides belong to metabolic enzymes (Extended Data

supplement concentration (x axis), yeast colonies progressively use amino acid

import rather than synthesis (n = 3 biological replicates; a cumulative gamma

distribution was fitted to data for visualization). c, Peptides carry signatures

Fig. 2a), this raised the interesting hypothesis that these proteins might be expressed to different levels in producers and consumer cells, and that proteomic technology could characterize the differentially labelled proteomes of these subpopulations.

Proteome-wide labelling reveals subpopulation gene expression

Differential labelling of the proteomes of lysine consumers and producers opened the possibility of deconvoluting their distinct proteomes from bulk measurements without the need to separate cells. extracts of yeast populations were digested with protease gluC, which selectively cuts after glutamate residues yielding a peptide mix, some of which contained two lysine residues that were then analysed with a targeted LC-MS/MS assay. Complementarily, protein extracts were digested with trypsin, which selectively cuts after lysine and arginine residues, resulting in a large number of peptides with a lysine residue at the C terminus that were then analysed using a dataindependent acquisition scheme (DIA-PASEF³⁵) and the software DIA-NN³².

We therefore expanded our analytical approach to capture thousands of peptides using parallel accumulation-serial fragmentation combined with data-independent acquisition (DIA-PASEF), followed by data-independent-neural network (DIA-NN) analysis³²⁻³⁵ (Fig. 1c). We grew colonies on agar with $^{\rm 13}C$ glucose and 200 μM lysine and acquired deep proteome profiles for six colonies grown for 48 h. In total, we detected approximately 35,000 precursors per sample at 1% false discovery rate, containing 3,600-4,600 high-quality, proteotypic light/ heavy pairs containing exactly one lysine residue (Supplementary Dataset 3, Methods and Extended Data Fig. 4a). The median ratio of producer-to consumer-attributable peptides ranged between 0.63 and 0.66 across the six samples (Extended Data Fig. 4b). This is consistent with the observation that consumers are slightly more abundant at



Fig. 2 | Yeast colonies contain stable lysine producer and consumer

subpopulations. a. Proteomes of yeast colonies supplemented with four different lysine concentrations were collected at three different time points (n = 2 biological replicates). Colony population size increased throughout the experiment (measured by OD, with approximate conversion to cell numbers). Uncropped images are shown in Extended Data Fig. 2d. b, Labelling states of five peptides, each containing two lysine residues, were determined by targeted LC-MS/MS measurements. Shown is the relative abundance of producer, consumer and mixed peptides over time and with varying lysine supplement concentration. Ratios are largely stable over the three time points and depend primarily on lysine supplement concentration in the medium. Bar heights show the mean across the top three fragments and across five measured peptides and two biological replicates; error bars indicate s.d. c, Chromatograms (representative examples) illustrating how peptide ratios were determined analytically. Left, chromatograms (ion intensity over time) for +2 charged precursors with modification-stripped sequence KYSLAPVAKE (single letter amino acid code). Producer, consumer and mixed peptides are distinguishable

by their mass:charge ratio. To confidently identify peptides and resolve the two mixed-labelling states, precursors were fragmented in the mass spectrometer. Right, fragment chromatograms for the consumer peptide. The top three most abundant fragments from those containing exactly one lysine were used for quantification (marked with an asterisk). d, Mixed-labelling-state peptides are substantially under-represented, indicating the existence of distinct producer and consumer subpopulations. The 'expected' distribution of labelling states, under the assumption of metabolic homogeneity, was calculated as a binomial distribution with a probability value taken from the overall fraction of labelled lysine sites. The 48 h timepoint of colonies supplemented with 200 μ M lysine is shown as an example, e. Variation between measured peptides is greater than that between biological replicates. Shown are cumulative relative abundance fractions of the three labelling states for each replicate and peptide. From left to right, peptides show increasing fractions of consumers, which may indicate that the proteins from which these peptides originate are differentially expressed in producers and consumers.

this supplement concentration in colonies (Fig. 2). The quality of the data was confirmed by the correlation of the labelling state of peptides derived from the same protein, and of the same precursor at +2 and +3 charge states (Extended Data Fig. 4c,d), as well as by a spike-in experiment (Extended Data Fig. 4e–g). Out of 4,327 heavy/light precursor pairs identified in at least three replicates, 3,044 had labelling ratios significantly different from the median producer/consumer ratio (Extended Data Fig. 5a; false-discovery-rate-corrected, one-sample, two-sided *t*-test). A protein was considered differentially expressed between producers and consumers if (1) at least one of its precursors was significant in the previous analysis, (2) all precursors showed the same trend and (3) the average absolute \log_2 -transformed fold change

(FC) across precursors was >0.75 (Extended Data Fig. 5b). Due to this stringent filtering we obtained summary statistics for 1,546 proteins, of which 277 were significantly differently expressed between producers and consumers. There was no obvious relationship between the number of peptides measured per protein and the likelihood of the protein being a hit, indicating that less reliably measured proteins with few measured peptides are not over-represented in the results (Extended Data Fig. 5c).

We first tested whether these differences in gene expression could be directly attributed to the lysine production/consumption status of cells. For this we generated an independent dataset comparing whole-colony, unlabelled proteomes of colonies supplemented with and without 400 µM lysine (a concentration at which almost all cells are consumers) (Supplementary Dataset 4). Following comparison of this profile with the producer/consumer profile obtained by ¹³C labelling (Supplementary Dataset 4 versus 3), enzymes involved in lysine biosynthesis were found to be strongly upregulated in lysine producers in both datasets (Fig. 3a). This was expected because the lysine biosynthesis pathway is under transcriptional control of the transcription factor Lys14p³⁶. However, beyond this no correlation was observed (global correlation r = -0.05, Pearson correlation). This result indicated that a broader range of factors beyond lysine consumer/producer state affect the proteome of cells growing within the colony.

Isogenic, young colonies contain fermenting and respiring cells

The set of proteins differentially abundant in producer and consumer cells within the colony were enriched for genes annotated to central carbon and energy metabolism (Extended Data Fig. 5d). We speculated that other nutrient gradients could form within the colonies, driving this differentiation. If this were the case we would expect the consumers to be mainly located towards the bottom of the colony, close to the nutrient source where nutrient concentrations are probably highest. To directly test for this possibility, we independently obtained proteomes for cells in the top and bottom parts of seven colonies grown on standard (unlabelled and non-supplemented) media. A reproducible separation of cells was achieved using a custom-made plastic guide and a cell scraper (Methods and Fig. 3b). Proteins were extracted, tryptic peptides generated and proteomes measured separately for each layer of the colony (Supplementary Dataset 5). The differential expression profile of top and bottom cells correlated with that obtained previously for producer/consumer cells by ¹³C labelling (r = 0.48, Pearson correlation; Supplementary Dataset 5 versus 3, andFig. 3b). This result confirmed that lysine producers are located predominantly in the top region of colonies and that, even in the absence of lysine supplementation, substantial proteomic heterogeneity exists within young colonies.

Fig. 3 Differential proteome analysis of lysine producers and consumers reveals extensive diauxie-like heterogeneity in young colonies. a, The lysine biosynthesis pathway is upregulated in producer cells, but their overall proteome profile is not explained by lysine producer/consumer status. The y axis shows protein abundance ratios in producer versus consumer cells within colonies supplemented with 200 µM lysine, determined from the ratio of lysine heavy versus light peptides by DILAC (Supplementary Dataset 3 (DS3); n = 6 biological replicates). The x axis shows abundance ratios from a separate experiment comparing colonies grown on unlabelled medium with and without $400 \,\mu M$ lysine supplement (Supplementary Dataset 4 (DS4); n = 5 biological replicates). While the lysine biosynthesis pathway is concordantly affected, the overall correlation is low, indicating that lysine availability alone is not the main driver of producer/consumer proteome differences. b, Producer/consumer proteome profiles correlate with proteomes from top and bottom layers of colonies. In an independent experiment (x axis, Supplementary Dataset 5 (DS5); n = 7 biological replicates), colonies were grown on unlabelled and non-supplemented medium; the top layer of cells was then removed using a cell scraper and both

We next focused on functional differences in the proteomes of the subpopulations. Mapping of quantitative gene expression changes obtained by differential isotope labelling by amino acids (DILAC; Supplementary Dataset 3) on the metabolic network (Fig. 3c) revealed substantial and concordant abundance changes in enzymes participating in central carbon and energy metabolism. Notably, the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, oxidative phosphorylation and parts of the pentose phosphate pathway were upregulated while cytoplasmic translation was downregulated in lysine producer cells located in the upper layer (Fig. 3d). Lysine-consuming cells in the bottom layer, on the other hand, had a proteomic signature of rapidly growing, fermenting yeast. We and others have previously observed similar gene expression signatures in batch cultures where cells rely either on respiration or fermentation for growth and energy production³⁷⁻ Furthermore, there could be a direct influence of lysine, the lack of which requires increased proteome allocation to lysine synthesis at the expense of proteins involved in translation⁴⁰. Overall, these results indicated that metabolic states that occur sequentially (temporally separated) in batch culture co-occur within colonies in a spatially separated manner.

We therefore compared producer/consumer proteome profiles (obtained from actively growing colonies) with the proteomic changes observed between early exponential and postdiauxic growth (postfermentative growth on a non-preferred carbon source such as ethanol) in liquid batch culture (published dataset by Murphy et al.³⁸). The global correlation of the two datasets was high (r = 0.68, Pearson correlation; Fig. 3e and Extended Data Fig. 6), with several of the key metabolic changes that differentiate exponential with postdiauxic shift cells represented in the lysine consumer versus producer profiles. For instance, in the pathway converting ethanol to acetyl coenzyme A (acetyl-CoA), elevated enzyme levels were detected for all three reactions (Fig. 3f): alcohol dehydrogenase Adh2p (Supplementary Dataset 3: not measured; Supplementary Dataset 5: FC = 2.14, P_{adj} = 0.0003); the acetaldehyde dehydrogenase Ald2p (Supplementary Dataset 3: FC = 2.48, two of two peptides were significant; Supplementary Dataset 5: FC = 1.37, P_{adi} = 0.002); as well as the acetyl-CoA synthetase Acs1p (Supplementary Dataset 3: not measured; Supplementary Dataset 5: FC = 2.08, P_{adi} = 0.0005), but not its isoform Acs2p. This is consistent with the shift from fermentation to oxidative metabolism, because Acs1p is known to be the glucose-responsive isoform while Acs2p is thought to be regulated in response to lipid metabolism⁴¹. Growth on two-carbon compounds (such as ethanol or acetate) requires that acetyl-CoA is further metabolized by the glyoxylate cycle⁴² which, contrary to the TCA cycle, does not include decarboxylation reactions and therefore allows the net generation of four-carbon from two-carbon compounds. The glyoxylate cycle is repressed in the presence of glucose⁴³. We note a

top and bottom layers analysed separately. c, Differences in producer/consumer proteomes (Supplementary Dataset 3) mapped to metabolic pathways using iPATH⁸⁰ indicate strong and concordant changes in expression of key metabolic pathways. The colour of edges indicates the direction (red, up; blue, down) and edge width reflects the magnitude of change. d, Changes in expression of proteins of key metabolic pathways between producers and consumers (Supplementary Dataset 3). Following convention, box-plot elements are defined as follows: centre line, median; box limits, upper and lower quartiles; whiskers, 1.5× interquartile range; points, outliers. e, Producer/consumer protein ratio profiles (Supplementary Dataset 3, y axis) correlate with changes in gene expression associated with the diauxic shift observed in liquid cultures³⁸. a,b,e, Pearson correlation coefficient is shown. f, Pathway map illustrating changes in expression of the ethanol degradation pathway and glyoxlyate cycle in three datasets (left to right): producer/consumer protein ratios obtained with DILAC (Supplementary Dataset 3), top and bottom layer in non-supplemented, unlabelled medium (Supplementary Dataset 5) and proteome changes from postdiauxic versus early exponential growth³⁸.

strong upregulation of glyoxylate cycle genes in both lysine producer cells (Supplementary Dataset 3) and top-layer cells (Supplementary Dataset 5 and Fig. 3f).

Thus, both on their own and in comparison with publicly available data, our results paint a consistent picture: even within young, morphologically undifferentiated colonies there is metabolic compartmentalization. Cells close to the agar surface have preferential access to nutrients, including glucose and amino acids, and grow by fermentation while cells in the upper layer respire and are required to produce lysine. Metabolic processes that have long been described as occurring in a temporally separated manner in liquid batch cultures appear to happen simultaneously but spatially separated within the colony. This is further supported by the recent discovery of ethanol as a shared resource in *S. cerevisiae* cultures⁴⁴, and is analogous to acetate cross-feeding in *Escherichia coli* colonies^{45–47}. Of note, this metabolic specialization is established long before the emergence of morphological differentiation of ageing yeast colonies⁴⁸.







Ion and vitamin gradients add to physiological diversity

The overall proteome profiles between lysine producers/consumers and exponential phase/postdiauxic cells were correlated. However, we observed other striking differences in colony metabolism not reflected in batch culture experiments and not explained by lysine availability (Supplementary Note 1). Out of seven proteins strongly differentially expressed within colonies (Supplementary Dataset 3; abs(log₂(FC)) > 1.5) but not between pre- and postdiauxic cells (abs(log₂(FC)) < 0.5 (ref. ³⁸); Fig. 3f), three stood out because they showed concordant changes in Supplementary Dataset 5 (physically separated top/bottom cell layers). Most notably, Adh4p, a minor alcohol dehydrogenase isozyme, is upregulated in lysine producer cells (Supplementary Dataset 3; FC = 14.6, four of four peptides significant). Adh4p is known to be induced by zinc starvation⁴⁹, which could indicate that top cells are zinc starved. Bio2p, a key biotin biosynthetic enzyme,



elements are defined as follows: centre line, median; box limits, upper and lower quartiles; whiskers, $1.5 \times$ interquartile range; points, outliers. **c**, This difference in susceptibility is not due to lysine production alone. No clear difference in susceptibility was observed when whole colonies, which were either producers (no supplement) or consumers (400 µM lysine), were challenged with varying concentrations of amphotericin B. **d**, Difference in susceptibility is linked to the position of cells in the colony. Physically separated top and bottom cells from non-supplemented colonies were challenged with various concentrations of amphotericin B. Bottom-layer cells were significantly more susceptible (at $5 \mu g$ ml⁻¹: mean fraction of dead cells in bottom layer 32% versus 22% in the top layer; P = 0.0005, paired two-sided *t*-test (see inset)). **c, d**, Lines and shaded areas represent mean and s.d., respectively. **P < 0.0005, ***P < 0.0005.

was also strongly upregulated in producer cells (Supplementary Dataset 3; FC = 4.3, one of one peptide significant), which could indicate that top cells have reduced access to biotin as compared with bottom cells. Furthermore, upregulation of the chaperone Ssa4p (Supplementary Dataset 3; FC = 4.2, five of five peptides significant) suggests that top cells face stresses that are different from postdiauxic cells in liquid cultures. Further experiments will be required to confirm the presence, and explore the consequence, of additional nutrient gradients in colonies.

Intracolony heterogeneity alters resistance to amphotericin B We next wondered whether metabolic heterogeneity within colonies could affect cellular phenotypes (Fig. 4a). A homogenized (resuspended) heterogeneous population (obtained from a colony grown on medium with ¹³C glucose and 200 μ M lysine supplement) was subjected to amphotericin B for one h and stained with the membrane permeability dye propidium jodine, which marks dead cells that have lost membrane integrity (Extended Data Fig. 7a). We then separated live and dead cells using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and determined labelling states of both groups separately. Cells that did not survive drug treatment were significantly less likely to be producer cells (P = 0.0004, paired two-sided Student's t-test; Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 7b). We then investigated whether this was a direct consequence of lysine consumption, but found no apparent difference in susceptibility between colonies grown either in non-supplemented medium or in medium supplemented with 400 µM lysine (Fig. 4c and Extended Data Fig. 8). Rather, the difference in susceptibility is explained by the position of cells within the colony (Fig. 4d and Extended Data Fig. 9; physically separated top and bottom layers from non-supplemented colonies). These results demonstrate the ability of DILAC to link metabolic and resistance phenotypes at the subpopulation level, and add evidence linking metabolic state and drug resistance in isogenic populations.

Discussion

Detection of metabolic heterogeneity and metabolic interactions within communities remains challenging. Even within multispecies communities, genomic information (for example, the presence or absence of a particular biosynthetic pathway) is not sufficient for obtaining a comprehensive picture of metabolic exchange interactions because auxotrophs and prototrophs alike import extracellular metabolites. As a consequence, we have only sparse evidence regarding the underlying mechanisms and physiological consequences of metabolic heterogeneity. Here we have developed DILAC, a method for the characterization of metabolic subpopulations. DILAC captures differences in amino acid consumption and production by quantifying the differential incorporation of stable isotope-labelled amino acids into peptides with multiple occurrences of the same amino acid. DILAC can hence discriminate, from proteomics data measured in bulk, whether a (sub)population of cells produced, consumed-or both consumed and produced-a particular amino acid. Indeed DILAC is complementary to previous approaches that combined ¹³C labelling and proteomics for metabolic flux analysis⁵⁰ and investigations of substrate preferences⁵¹⁻⁵⁶, via its unique ability to directly detect heterogeneous amino acid metabolism across isogenic and morphologically homogeneous populations of wild-type cells. Moreover, based on differential labelling patterns. DILAC can deconvolute the proteomes of producer and consumer cells out of bulk measurements and, hence, determine which proteins are differentially expressed between subpopulations without separating them physically.

The DILAC workflow is in principle broadly applicable but comes with certain technical and biological limitations. The detection of heterogeneity by targeted analysis of the depletion of the mixed-labelling state is qualitative in this study and might be probelematic in cases where subpopulations are not as distinct-that is, separated by gradual transitions. Furthermore, DILAC is not precise in the quantification of the number of cells in each subpopulation because these can have different protein synthesis rates and must therefore be complemented by other methods (for example, single-cell metabolomics⁵⁷ and proteomics) if precise ratios between cell types are to be determined. Moreover, although application of DILAC to other amino acids has been demonstrated here, the depth and precision of proteome-wide profile deconvolution vary depending on the prevalence of suitable peptides and fragments. Furthermore, for technical reasons, the characterization of rare subpopulations will remain more challenging compared with high-abundance subpopulations because quantitative precision and the number of identified peptide pairs diminish for heavily skewed heavy/light ratios.

A high degree of metabolic diversity was revealed by DILAC within young, undifferentiated yeast colonies. It has long been known that growth of microbial colonies is limited by diffusion of metabolic substrates^{58,59}, and this could mean that only a subpopulation of cells proliferates^{60,61}. Our data conclusively describe subpopulations, stable in relative size, that produce and consume lysine and whose proteomes simultaneously carried a strong signature of fermentative growth on glucose and respiratory growth on ethanol. Colonies, arguably replicating aspects of spatial structure and chemical gradients naturally encountered by yeasts in the absence of artificial homogenization by rapid shaking, are more complex and metabolically heterogeneous than previously thought. This adds to related studies in bacteria, in which recent work has described the cross-feeding of alanine⁶² and acetate⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷. We also conducted experiments in liquid batch culture. and here our results help to explain why experimental results can differ markedly between different points in growth phases. We find that cells switch from a consumer to a producer state at low supplement concentration and, because different amino acid supplements in batch culture are consumed rapidly but at different rates⁶³, different points in batch culture growth experiments will be composed of different consumer and producer populations. Furthermore, our results are relevant for microbiological experiments using colonies. These typically study young colonies grown for a small number of days and in which no morphological differentiation is typically visible. Our results indicate that several compounds form gradients, resulting in a metabolically heterogeneous population. Colonies are thus complex environments with numerous gradients creating micro-environments and metabolic heterogeneity, resulting in important physiological consequences for each subpopulation and for the colony as a collective^{64,65}.

Our study adds a type of heterogeneity to a growing body of work describing metabolic heterogeneity in yeast colonies and biofilms⁶⁶. Importantly, the heterogeneity we detected within young colonies is different compared with that observed in ageing yeast colonies on rich media, where complex metabolic changes resulted in the differentiation into upper- and lower-layer cells with vastly different physiologies^{65,67,68}. Whereas in ageing colonies fermentative metabolism and growth take place in outer cells while inner cells appear stressed and starved, we observed the opposite in young, growing colonies where the fermentative population is the one close to the agar. More recently, another line of evidence has described a type of heterogeneity in glucose-limited yeast colonies where cells differentiate into dark and light types after several days in glucose-poor but amino acid-rich media⁶⁹. Here, one population produces trehalose from aspartate which is then used by a second, glycolytic population of cells⁷⁰. Here again, the key difference is that prolonged starvation was used to induce marked morphological and metabolic differentiation.

An intriguing question to explore in future studies is the nature of the interaction between pre- and postdiauxic populations and its impact on the fitness of the whole community. Cells can transition between populations (due to the higher growth rate of the bottom population, there has to be a net flux of cells into the top population over time because both populations remain relatively equal in size). Simultaneous utilization of glucose and ethanol could affect the overall fitness of the colony by minimizing the amount of ethanol diffusing back into the medium—this could be beneficial or detrimental in the light of competition for carbon or the antimicrobial effect of ethanol on competitors. Higher ethanol concentrations in the top layers might also decrease the risk of invading bacterial species, allowing those cells close to the nutrient source to exploit it efficiently.

Finally, we found that metabolic differences between subpopulations can affect cellular responses, as demonstrated for the clinically applied antifungal amphotericin B. In a recent study²⁴ we showed that colonies containing cells with varying degrees of metabolic interactions have increased drug tolerance. While this previous work shows that metabolic heterogeneity is a source of antifungal tolerance in a fungal system where metabolic heterogeneity is genetically driven, we now show that heterogeneity in prototrophic wild-type colonies can also induce a differential response to antifungal drugs at the subpopulation level. This finding could be of critical importance in regard to yeast pathogens such as *Candida albicans* that trigger drug-tolerant infections by the formation of biofilms. In spatially structured communities it can be hard to disentangle the effect of physical shielding from metabolic heterogeneity but, like others⁷¹, we have found that heterogeneous resistance is maintained even when the spatial structure is disrupted. On the other hand, even spatially homogeneous (but stationary—that is, starved) liquid cultures can display some degree of heterogeneous resistance⁷². Hence, understanding the influence of metabolic heterogeneity on phenotypic diversity in these systems could have broad implications for future treatment development.

Methods

Yeast strains and media

A prototrophic derivative of the S288C-descendent standard laboratory strain BY4741 (MATa his $3\Delta 1$ leu $2\Delta 0$ met $15\Delta 0$ ura $3\Delta 0$) was used throughout this study (described in (ref.²⁴)). It was obtained by repairing the four auxotrophies via integration of the missing genes into their native genomic loci. Cells were revived from cryostocks by plating out on yeast extract peptone dextrose agar (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose and 2% agar) and incubated for 1-3 days. SM medium was prepared from yeast nitrogen base without amino acids (as 2× stock, stored in the dark; Sigma-Aldrich, no. Y0626) and ¹³C-labelled glucose (prepared as 10% stock; Sigma-Aldrich, no. 389374), to which L-lysine (prepared as 20 mM stock; Sigma-Aldrich, no. L5501) was added as required. Media components were sterilized by filtration. Where applicable, autoclaved agar was included in media at a final concentration of 2%. In SM medium, a glucose concentration of 1% was used throughout this study. Cultures and colonies were incubated at 30 °C. For experiments where cells were grown in/on 13C glucose medium, precultures/colonies were grown on SM medium containing ¹³C glucose without additional supplements to minimize carryover of ¹²C material.

Physical separation of top and bottom cells in colonies

Hot agar medium was filled into 12-well tissue culture plates. Before it solidified, a small square of plastic (cut from a tip box lid) with a circular hole in the middle (made using a regular office hole punch) was dropped onto the agar surface. Colonies were then inoculated using a pipette tip and grown for three days. To harvest top cells, a plastic cell scraper was swiped over the plastic surface, applying even and gentle pressure. Cells were washed off the scraper and the remaining bottom layer of the colony was washed off the agar surface with water. The optical density (OD) of both suspensions was measured, followed by centrifugation (14,000g, 4 min) and removal of the supernatant. Proteomic samples were then prepared as described below.

Measurement of free intracellular amino acids

Intracellular amino acids were extracted and measured as previously described^{31,73}. In brief, 180 µl of 80% ethanol in water was added to previously frozen cell pellets. The sample was then incubated in a water bath at 80 °C for two min, followed by vigorous mixing for two min and a further two min at 80 °C. The extract was cleared by centrifugation (3,200g, five min) and used directly for LC-MS/MS analysis (Agilent 1290 Infinity HPLC, Agilent 6470 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer). Five microlitres of sample was separated by hydrophilic interaction chromatography on an analytical column (Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH amide 2.1 \times 100 mm², 1.7 μm) maintained at 25 °C and a flow rate of 0.6 ml min⁻¹. The starting conditions were 15% buffer A (1:1 acetonitrile/water, 10 mM ammonium formate, 0.176% formic acid) and 85% buffer B (95:5:5 acetonitrile/methanol/water, 10 mM ammonium formate and 0.176% formic acid). Starting conditions were maintained for three min followed by ramping to 5% buffer B over seven min, which was maintained for one min before returning to starting conditions. Total run time was 12.7 min. Source parameters were set as follows: gas temperature 325 °C, gas flow 10 l min⁻¹, nebulizer 40 psi, sheath gas temperature 350 °C, sheath gas flow 11 l min⁻¹, capillary voltage 3,500 V, nozzle voltage 1,000 V. Lysine was measured in positive mode by monitoring transitions 147–84 for unlabelled lysine and 153–89 for labelled lysine (fragmentor 80 and collission energy (CE) 10 for both). Data were analysed in MassHunter (Agilent). Correct peaks were identified by matching retention times to pure analytical amino acid standards, as well as a qualifier transition at 147.1–130.1 (fragmentor 80, CE 5). Labelled and unlabelled lysine were quantified by peak integration, both being reported as a fraction of total area (labelled + unlabelled).

Proteomics sample preparation

For cells grown on agar media, colonies were washed off the surface with one ml of water, transferred to a 96-deep-well plate, pelleted by centrifugation (3,200*g*, four min) and frozen at -80 °C until further processing. For liquid cultures, roughly 1 OD-unit of cells were transferred to a 96-deep-well plate, separated from medium by centrifugation, resuspended in one ml of water, pelleted by centrifugation and frozen at -80 °C until further processing.

Samples were prepared by mechanical lysis in denaturing urea buffer, followed by reduction-alkylation of cysteine residues, digestion, solid phase extraction (SPE) and buffer exchange, as described previously^{74,75}. In brief, 200 µl of lysis buffer (seven M urea and 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate in water) and a small amount of acid-washed glass beads (425-600 μ m in size) were added to each well and the plate sealed with a rubber seal mat. Cells were then lysed mechanically for 2 × 5 min using a 1600 MiniG bead mill (Spex Sample Prep) operated at 1,500 rpm. Then, 20 µl of 55 mM dithiothreitol was added with incubation at 30 °C for one h, followed by the addition of 20 µl of 120 mM iodoacetamide and a further 30 min incubation at room temperature in the dark. Next, one ml of 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate was added to each well and the extract cleared by centrifugation (3,220g, five min). This was followed by transfer of 230-920 µl (varying between experiments, depending on the starting amount of biological material) to a fresh plate containing either 10–20 µl of trypsin solution (100 µg ml⁻¹; Sequencing Grade Modified Trypsin, Bulk Sale Size, Promega, prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions) or 10-20 µl of gluC solution (100 µg ml⁻¹; New England Biolabs, prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions). Proteins were digested at 37 °C overnight. Formic acid (prepared as a 10% stock) was added to a final concentration of 1% and peptides purified by SPE using 96-well SPE plates (BioPure Macro 96, PROTO 300 C18, no. HNS S18V-L, Nest Group). These were first conditioned with methanol, followed by 2× buffer B (50% acetonitrile in water) and 3× buffer A (3% acetonitrile in water with 0.1% formic acid). Samples were then loaded and washed three times with buffer A before elution into a fresh plate; 200 µl was used for all conditioning and wash steps, and elution was done using $2 \times 120 \,\mu$ l followed by $130 \,\mu$ l of buffer B. Samples were then dried at 45 °C in a Concentrator Plus (Eppendorf) using the V-AQ programme. Samples were reconstituted in 20-50 µl of buffer A, cleared of any insoluble components (3,200g, five min) and transferred to a fresh plate compatible with our autosampler. Peptide concentrations were estimated using absorption at 280 nm (Lunatic plate reader, Unchained Labs).

Microflow LC-MS setup and measurements

Sample volumes containing two µg of peptides were analysed on a nanoAcquity UPLC (Waters) connected to a SCIEX TripleTOF 6600 with a DuoSpray Turbo V source, as described previously⁷⁵. The column (Waters HSS T3, 150 mm × 300 µm, 1.8 µm particles) was maintained at 35 °C and a flow rate of five µl min⁻¹. The chromatographic gradient was 20 min, starting with 3% buffer B and 97% buffer A and ending at 80% buffer B before returning to starting conditions (total run time, 27.5 min). Ion source gas 1 (nebulizer gas), ion source gas 2 (heater gas) and curtain gas were set to 15, 20 and 25, respectively. The ion spray voltage was set to 5,500 V and source temperature to 75 °C. The mass spectrometer was operated in high-resolution mode.

For DIA-sequential windowed acquisition of all theoretical fragment (DIA-SWATH) analysis (Supplementary Datasets 4 and 5) a SWATH method with 40 windows and 35 ms accumulation time was used, covering a precursor range of 400–1,250 *m/z*⁷⁵. Data were analysed in DIA–NN v.1.8 (ref. ³²) using a spectral library generated by gas phase fractionation and scanning SWATH analysis⁷⁴ with long gradients on the same physical setup⁷⁵. The library contained 4,936 protein groups and 58,599 precursors. Sciex wiff data files were loaded directly into DIA–NN. MS2 and MS1 mass accuracy was set to 20 and 12, respectively. 'Use isotopologues' and 'Remove likely interferences' were enabled, with 'Robust LC (high precision)' set for quantification strategy. Reverse-transcription-dependent cross-run normalization was enabled, and gene quantities as reported by DIA–NN (which internally uses maxLFQ⁷⁶) were used for differential expression analysis.

For targeted measurements (PRM) (Supplementary Dataset 2), suitable peptides were selected based on their amino acid composition (exactly two lysine residues and no cysteine or methionine residues), the position of the lysine residues in the peptide (ideally one lysine close to the C terminus and both lysines far apart from each other), their typical abundance, as well as other quality indicators (consistency of identification across runs, no probable interferences reported and consistency of retention time across runs). Only proteotypic peptides were used. PRM methods were generated using Skyline⁷⁷. Generally, all amino acids except lysine were set to carry structural modifications to reflect their ¹²C-to-¹³C mass shift, and isotope label modification with matching retention time was applied to lysine. Isotope labels were then permuted fully, resulting in four uniquely labelled precursors per peptide of interest. Collision energies and declustering potentials were predicted using the SCIEX setting. For Supplementary Dataset 2, samples were run on the setup described above with identical chromatography and source parameters. For data underlying Extended Data Fig. 1, samples were run on high-flow chromatography (Agilent 1290 Infinity II) with a five min gradient on a Infinitylab Poroshell 120 EC-C18 column ($2.1 \times 50 \text{ mm}^2$, $1.9 \mu \text{m}$) coupled to a SCIEX TripleTOF 6600 with IonDrive source.

Data files were directly loaded into Skyline v.21.1 or v.21.2. Skyline was instructed to extract data for single-charged y and b fragment ions, starting with ion3 and ending with last ion-2, as well as for intact precursors. Resolving powers were set to 20,000 for MS1 and 12,000 for MS2, with high-selectivity extraction enabled. Identification and integration were checked manually and quantification reports generated for further analysis in python. For fragment-level quantification, only fragments containing exactly one lysine were used. This allowed us to resolve and quantify the two mixed-labelling states (which have the exact same precursor mass), because fragments with one lysine are unique to either one of the two. Only the top three abundant fragments (average rank across all samples) were used for quantification. For each fragment the ratios of the different labelling states were determined first before averaging across fragments, peptides and replicates.

Observed and expected labelling state abundance

Lysine incorporation into peptides was modelled as a Bernoulli process with two trials (one per lysine residue). Probability was derived from the abundances of the three labelling states by computing the average occurrence of imported lysine across the two sites ($P = (0 \times \text{producer} \text{peptide abundance} + 1 \times \text{mixed peptide abundance} + 2 \times \text{consumer} \text{peptide abundance})/2$). The expected relative abundance, *r*, of labelling states was then computed as

$$r = \binom{2}{k} P^k (1-P)^{2-k}$$

where k is the number of imported lysine residues in the peptide.

Nanoflow LC-MS setup for DIA-PASEF measurements

For proteome-wide determination of producer and consumer gene expression differences (Supplementary Dataset 3), tryptic digests were prepared from colonies and liquid cultures as described above. Peptides (400 ng) were analysed on a nano-flow chromatography setup (UltiMate 3000, Thermo Scientific Dionex) coupled to a TIMS quadrupole time-of-flight instrument (timsTOF Pro2, Bruker Daltonics). We used a 25 cm Aurora Series analytical column with emitter column (CSI, 25 cm × 75 µm ID, 1.6 µm C18, IonOpticks) maintained at 50 °C. Mobile phases A and B (water with 0.1% formic acid and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid, respectively) were applied on a linear gradient starting from 2% B and increasing to 17% by minute 87, followed by an increase to 25% B to minute 93, 37% B to minute 98 and 80% B to minute 99, which was maintained until minute 104. The column was then equilibrated in 2% B for the next 15 min. For calibration of the ion mobility dimension, three of the Agilent ESI-Low Tuning Mix ions were selected (m/z)(Thomson (Th)), 1/K₀ (Vs cm⁻²): 622.0289, 0.9848; 922.0097, 1.1895; 1221.9906, 1.3820). Data were acquired in DIA-PASEF mode. In the m/z dimension, windows ranged from 400 to 1,200 Th and in the $1/K_0$ dimension from 0.6 to 1.4 Vs cm⁻², with 32×25 Th windows. Collision energy was decreased linearly, from 59 eV at $1/K_0 = 1.3$ Vs cm⁻² to 20 eV at $1/K_0 = 0.85$ Vs cm⁻².

For the spike-in experiment shown in Extended Data Fig. 4e–g, 500 ng of total peptides (pooled sample from the experiment shown in Fig. 3 and Supplementary Dataset 3 plus fully labelled ¹³C peptides) was analysed using Evosep chromatography (EVOTIP PERFORMANCE, set up according to the manufacturer's protocol), with the EVOSEP 15 SPD LC method (88 min gradient) and the EV1137 PERFORMANCE column (15 cm × 150 μ m, 1.5 μ m at 40 °C), coupled to a 10 μ m Zero Dead Volume Captive Spray Emitter (Bruker, no. 1865691). The same mass spectrometer and acquisition method were used.

Data were analysed using the recently developed tims module in DIA-NN 1.8 (ref.³⁴). In a first step, the spectral library described above was modified in silico to reflect the 13C-labelling state of colonies grown on ¹³C glucose with ¹²C lysine. For this, fixed modifications of the type 'label' (indicating that they do not affect retention time) were applied to all amino acids except for lysine, where the same was applied as a variable modification. Only precursors with charge +2 or +3 and of length 7-30 residues were included in the modified library. The 'ExcludeFromAssay' column of the library was then set to True for all b-series ions and False for all v-series ions, indicating that only v-series ions (containing exactly one lysine) should be used for quantification, thereby excluding fragments not unique to one of the labelling states of the precursors. Raw data files were then directly loaded into DIA-NN and analysed with the previously generated library. MS1 and MS2 mass accuracies were set to 10, 'Use isotopologues' was disabled, 'Remove likely interferences' was enabled and 'Robust LC (high precision)' was set for Quantification Strategy; '-restrict-fr' was added to the option field to enable the use of the 'ExcludeFromAssay' column of the library. Default options were used otherwise.

The DIA–NN output reports were further processed in python. Only proteotypic peptides with exactly one lysine residue located at the end of the peptide and with Quantity.Quality >0.7 were included in the analysis. For the experiment investigating colony subpopulations, two out of eight samples were excluded from the analysis (one had a low number of IDs and one a median ratio of labelled/unlabelled peptides that differed substantially from the other seven). Matching labelled/unlabelled precursor pairs were identified based on Data.File, Stripped.Sequence and Precursor.Charge, and the ratio of the Precursor.Quantity of heavy (producer) to light (consumer) was computed. For each sample separately, heavy/light rations were divided by the sample median and log_2 transformed. It was then tested whether the mean ratio across replicates was significantly different from 0, using the 'ttest_lsamp' function from scipy.stats⁷⁸. *P* values were corrected for multiple testing using the method of Benjamini and Hochberg. Precursors were considered significant if the adjusted *P* value was <0.05. Median heavy light ratio, as well as other summary statistics, were generated. Precursor-level results were then aggregated at the gene level, considering only precursors identified in at least three samples. A gene was considered a hit if at least one precursor was significant, if the absolute average \log_2 -transformed median FC across all precursors was >0.75 and if all precursors showed the same trend (all median $\log_2(FC)$ have the same sign).

Gene enrichment analyses were performed with gProfiler⁷⁹, accessed via python API (gprofiler-official v.1.0.0). Gene Ontology enrichments were visualized with the CellPlot package (S. E. Templer and R. Sehlke). Yeast pathways were downloaded manually from pathway.yeastgenome.org (accessed 8 August 2021). Genes annotated to specific Gene Ontolgy terms (oxidative phosphorylation: GO:0006119; cytoplasmic translation: GO:0002181) were retrieved with gProfiler on 9 August 2021. The pathway map was drawn with iPATH⁸⁰. For this, Saccharomyces Genome Database gene names were first converted to UNIPROTSWISSPROT IDs using gProfiler. Edges were coloured according to the direction of change and drawn with a thickness reflecting \log_2 -transformed FC (width, $1 + \log_2(FC) \times 10$).

Quantitative changes in gene expression between postdiauxic growth compared with early exponential growth (Figs. 3f and 4b) were obtained from Supplementary Data 1 of (ref.³⁸). This dataset contains 10-plex tandem mass tag measurements of yeast strain DBY7286 (*MATa, ura3, GAL2*) at ten time points during growth on liquid yeast extract peptone dextrose medium. We used protein-level mean values from the sheet 'timecourse statistics' and divided the 33 h timepoint (timepoint 10, late postdiauxic growth and entry into stationary phase) by the 11 h time point (time point 3, early exponential growth), followed by log₂ transformation.

FACS of amphotericin-treated cells

Heterogeneous and ¹³C-labelled colonies were grown on SM medium with 1% ¹³C glucose and 200 µM lysine for three days. Colonies were then resuspended in 1.1 ml of SM with 0.2% ¹³C glucose, and 500 µl was added to a similar volume of amphotericin B solution followed by mixing and incubation for one h as described above. Cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in PBS. Before FACS, cells were sonicated for 20 s at 50 W (JSP Ultrasonic Cleaner model US21) to increase singlet efficiency. Cells were then stained with 8 µg ml⁻¹ propidium iodine to identify live and dead cells, before FACS analysis. Live and dead cells were sorted on a BD Aria Fusion with BD FACSDiva (v.8.0.1) software (BD Biosciences) using a 488 nm excitation laser. The gating strategy is illustrated in Extended Data Fig. 7a. Sorted cells were collected by filtration through a 0.45 µm polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Agilent, no. 200959-100) and washed from the filter with 200 µl of proteomics lysis buffer, followed by sample processing and targeted PRM measurement as described above.

Assessment of amphotericin B resistance by flow cytometry

To assess the effect of lysine on amphotericin resistance in colonies, colonies were grown for two days with or without 400 μ M lysine in SM medium with 1% standard (¹²C) glucose. Colonies were resuspended in one ml of SM with 0.2% ¹²C glucose and OD₆₀₀ was determined. To assess differential resistance in the top and bottom cell layers in colonies, non-supplemented colonies were grown in SM medium with 1% ¹²C glucose for three days. Top cells were scraped off as described above and resuspended in 550 μ l of SM with 0.2% ¹²C glucose, and bottom cells were washed from the agar with the same volume. The next step in both experiments was the addition of 200 μ l of cell suspension to 200 μ l of amphotericin B solution, followed by shaking at 1,000 rpm for five min and incubation at room temperature in the dark. Cell death was assessed using the LIVE/DEAD Fixable Far Red Dead Cell Stain Kit for 633 or 635 nm excitation (ThermoFisher Scientific, no. L10120) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were then sonicated

for 20 s at 50 W (JSP Ultrasonic Cleaner model US21) to increase singlet efficiency, and 250 µl was transferred to a 96-well plate for analysis. A total of 20,000–30,000 cells per sample were measured in a Fortessa X20 Flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) using the HTS plate mode on BD Diva software, v.8.0.1 and a 633 nm excitation laser, to capture dye fluorescence intensity. Populations of interest were gated using FlowJo v.10.3.0, as illustrated in Extended Data Figs. 8 and 9.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Nine Extended Data figures and one Extended Data table are provided with this manuscript. Seven Supplementary datasets are supplied in xlsx format. For DIA experiments: raw data, DIA–NN pipelines, log and report files, as well as code used for analysis, have been deposited at ProteomeXchange⁸¹ via PRIDE⁸², with the following accessions: PXD037508 (Supplementary Dataset 3 and spike experiment shown in Extended Data Fig. 4e–g); PXD030702 (Supplementary Dataset 4); PXD033395 (Supplementary Dataset 5). For targeted proteomics experiments, Skyline files, raw data and Jupyter notebooks containing code used for analysis and plotting have been deposited with Panorama Public⁸³ and ProteomeXchange: https://panoramaweb.org/DILAC.url (10.6069/s9b3-zz35) and PXD036959.

References

- Saint, M. et al. Single-cell imaging and RNA sequencing reveal patterns of gene expression heterogeneity during fission yeast growth and adaptation. *Nat. Microbiol.* 4, 480–491 (2019).
- 2. Nadal-Ribelles, M. et al. Sensitive high-throughput single-cell RNA-seq reveals within-clonal transcript correlations in yeast populations. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 683–692 (2019).
- Jackson, C. A., Castro, D. M., Saldi, G.-A., Bonneau, R. & Gresham, D. Gene regulatory network reconstruction using single-cell RNA sequencing of barcoded genotypes in diverse environments. *eLife* **9**, e51254 (2020).
- 4. Jariani, A. et al. A new protocol for single-cell RNA-seq reveals stochastic gene expression during lag phase in budding yeast. *eLife* **9**, e55320 (2020).
- Rugbjerg, P. & Olsson, L. The future of self-selecting and stable fermentations. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 47, 993–1004 (2020).
- González-Cabaleiro, R., Mitchell, A. M., Smith, W., Wipat, A. & Ofițeru, I. D. Heterogeneity in pure microbial systems: experimental measurements and modeling. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1813 (2017).
- 7. Campbell, K., Vowinckel, J. & Ralser, M. Cell-to-cell heterogeneity emerges as consequence of metabolic cooperation in a synthetic yeast community. *Biotechnol. J.* **11**, 1169–1178 (2016).
- 8. Morawska, L. P., Hernandez-Valdes, J. A. & Kuipers, O. P. Diversity of bet-hedging strategies in microbial communities-recent cases and insights. *WIREs Mech. Dis.* **14**, e1544 (2022).
- 9. Rosenberg, A. et al. Antifungal tolerance is a subpopulation effect distinct from resistance and is associated with persistent candidemia. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2470 (2018).
- Dewachter, L., Fauvart, M. & Michiels, J. Bacterial heterogeneity and antibiotic survival: understanding and combatting persistence and heteroresistance. *Mol. Cell* 76, 255–267 (2019).
- 11. Bódi, Z. et al. Phenotypic heterogeneity promotes adaptive evolution. *PLoS Biol.* **15**, e2000644 (2017).
- Levy, S. F., Ziv, N. & Siegal, M. L. Bet hedging in yeast by heterogeneous, age-correlated expression of a stress protectant. *PLoS Biol.* **10**, e1001325 (2012).

- Balaban, N. Q., Merrin, J., Chait, R., Kowalik, L. & Leibler, S. Bacterial persistence as a phenotypic switch. Science 305, 1622–1625 (2004).
- Li, S., Giardina, D. M. & Siegal, M. L. Control of nongenetic heterogeneity in growth rate and stress tolerance of Saccharomyces cerevisiae by cyclic AMP-regulated transcription factors. PLoS Genet. 14, e1007744 (2018).
- Lukačišin, M., Espinosa-Cantú, A. & Bollenbach, T. Intron-mediated induction of phenotypic heterogeneity. *Nature* 605, 113–118 (2022).
- 16. Avery, S. V. Microbial cell individuality and the underlying sources of heterogeneity. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **4**, 577–587 (2006).
- Holland, S. L., Reader, T., Dyer, P. S. & Avery, S. V. Phenotypic heterogeneity is a selected trait in natural yeast populations subject to environmental stress. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 1729–1740 (2014).
- Olin-Sandoval, V. et al. Lysine harvesting is an antioxidant strategy and triggers underground polyamine metabolism. *Nature* 572, 249–253 (2019).
- Alam, M. T. et al. The metabolic background is a global player in Saccharomyces gene expression epistasis. Nat. Microbiol 1, 15030 (2016).
- Yin, H., He, Y., Dong, J. & Lu, J. Transcriptional profiling of amino acid supplementation and impact on aroma production in a lager yeast fermentation. *J. Inst. Brew.* **124**, 425–433 (2018).
- 21. Boer, V. M. et al. Transcriptional responses of *Saccharomyces* cerevisiae to preferred and nonpreferred nitrogen sources in glucose-limited chemostat cultures. *FEMS Yeast Res.* **7**, 604–620 (2007).
- Godard, P. et al. Effect of 21 different nitrogen sources on global gene expression in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 27, 3065–3086 (2007).
- Costa, C. et al. New mechanisms of flucytosine resistance in C. glabrata unveiled by a chemogenomics analysis in S. cerevisiae. PLoS ONE 10, e0135110 (2015).
- Yu, J. S. L. et al. Microbial communities form rich extracellular metabolomes that foster metabolic interactions and promote drug tolerance. *Nat. Microbiol.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01072-5 (2022).
- Campbell, K. et al. Self-establishing communities enable cooperative metabolite exchange in a eukaryote. *eLife* 4, e09943 (2015).
- Momeni, B., Brileya, K. A., Fields, M. W. & Shou, W. Strong inter-population cooperation leads to partner intermixing in microbial communities. *eLife* 2, e00230 (2013).
- Takhaveev, V. & Heinemann, M. Metabolic heterogeneity in clonal microbial populations. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 45, 30–38 (2018).
- Fröhlich, F., Christiano, R. & Walther, T. C. Native SILAC: metabolic labeling of proteins in prototroph microorganisms based on lysine synthesis regulation. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 12, 1995–2005 (2013).
- Dannenmaier, S. et al. Complete native stable isotope labeling by amino acids of Saccharomyces cerevisiae for global proteomic analysis. Anal. Chem. **90**, 10501–10509 (2018).
- Hammer, T., Bode, R., Schmidt, H. & Birnbaum, D. Distribution of three lysine-catabolizing enzymes in various yeast species. J. Basic Microbiol. **31**, 43–49 (1991).
- 31. Mülleder, M. et al. Functional metabolomics describes the yeast biosynthetic regulome. *Cell* **167**, 553–565 (2016).
- Demichev, V., Messner, C. B., Vernardis, S. I., Lilley, K. S. & Ralser, M. DIA-NN: neural networks and interference correction enable deep proteome coverage in high throughput. *Nat. Methods* 17, 41–44 (2020).

- Vowinckel, J. et al. Cost-effective generation of precise label-free quantitative proteomes in high-throughput by microLC and data-independent acquisition. Sci. Rep. 8, 4346 (2018).
- 34. Demichev, V. et al. dia-PASEF data analysis using FragPipe and DIA-NN for deep proteomics of low sample amounts. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 3944 (2022).
- 35. Meier, F. et al. diaPASEF: parallel accumulation-serial fragmentation combined with data-independent acquisition. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 1229–1236 (2020).
- Feller, A., Dubois, E., Ramos, F. & Piérard, A. Repression of the genes for lysine biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is caused by limitation of Lys14-dependent transcriptional activation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 14, 6411–6418 (1994).
- 37. Kamrad, S. et al. Pyruvate kinase variant of fission yeast tunes carbon metabolism, cell regulation, growth and stress resistance. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **16**, e9270 (2020).
- Murphy, J. P., Stepanova, E., Everley, R. A., Paulo, J. A. & Gygi, S. P. Comprehensive temporal protein dynamics during the diauxic shift in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 14, 2454–2465 (2015).
- Brauer, M. J., Saldanha, A. J., Dolinski, K. & Botstein, D. Homeostatic adjustment and metabolic remodeling in glucose-limited yeast cultures. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 16, 2503–2517 (2005).
- 40. Björkeroth, J. et al. Proteome reallocation from amino acid biosynthesis to ribosomes enables yeast to grow faster in rich media. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **117**, 21804–21812 (2020).
- 41. Hiesinger, M., Wagner, C. & Schüller, H. J. The acetyl-CoA synthetase gene ACS2 of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is coregulated with structural genes of fatty acid biosynthesis by the transcriptional activators Ino2p and Ino4p. *FEBS Lett.* **415**, 16–20 (1997).
- 42. Kornberg, H. L. The role and control of the glyoxylate cycle in *Escherichia coli. Biochem. J.* **99**, 1–11 (1966).
- Duntze, W., Neumann, D., Gancedo, J. M., Atzpodien, W. & Holzer, H. Studies on the regulation and localization of the glyoxylate cycle enzymes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Eur. J. Biochem. 10, 83–89 (1969).
- Xiao, T., Khan, A., Shen, Y., Chen, L. & Rabinowitz, J. D. Glucose feeds the tricarboxylic acid cycle via excreted ethanol in fermenting yeast. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41589-022-01091-7 (2022).
- Cole, J. A., Kohler, L., Hedhli, J. & Luthey-Schulten, Z. Spatially-resolved metabolic cooperativity within dense bacterial colonies. *BMC Syst. Biol.* 9, 15 (2015).
- Wolfsberg, E., Long, C. P. & Antoniewicz, M. R. Metabolism in dense microbial colonies: C metabolic flux analysis of *E. coli* grown on agar identifies two distinct cell populations with acetate cross-feeding. *Metab. Eng.* 49, 242–247 (2018).
- 47. Dal Co, A., van Vliet, S. & Ackermann, M. Emergent microscale gradients give rise to metabolic cross-feeding and antibiotic tolerance in clonal bacterial populations. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **374**, 20190080 (2019).
- Plocek, V., Váchová, L., Šťovíček, V. & Palková, Z. Cell distribution within yeast colonies and colony biofilms: how structure develops. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 3873 (2020).
- 49. Yuan, D. S. Zinc-regulated genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* revealed by transposon tagging. *Genetics* **156**, 45–58 (2000).
- 50. Ghosh, A. et al. A peptide-based method for 13C metabolic flux analysis in microbial communities. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **10**, e1003827 (2014).
- 51. Kleiner, M. Metaproteomics: much more than measuring gene expression in microbial communities. *mSystems* **4**, e00115–19 (2019).

- Jehmlich, N., Vogt, C., Lünsmann, V., Richnow, H. H. & von Bergen, M. Protein-SIP in environmental studies. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 41, 26–33 (2016).
- Taubert, M. SIP-metaproteomics: linking microbial taxonomy, function, and activity. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2046, 57–69 (2019).
- 54. DeGennaro, C. M., Savir, Y. & Springer, M. Identifying metabolic subpopulations from population level mass spectrometry. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0151659 (2016).
- Sachsenberg, T. et al. MetaProSIP: automated inference of stable isotope incorporation rates in proteins for functional metaproteomics. J. Proteome Res. 14, 619–627 (2015).
- 56. Zeng, X. et al. Gut bacterial nutrient preferences quantified in vivo. Cell **185**, 3441–3456 (2022).
- Urban, P. L. et al. Carbon-13 labelling strategy for studying the ATP metabolism in individual yeast cells by micro-arrays for mass spectrometry. *Mol. Biosyst.* 7, 2837–2840 (2011).
- Cooper, A. L., Dean, A. C. & Hinshelwood, C. Factors affecting the growth of bacterial colonies on agar plates. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 171, 175–199 (1968).
- 59. Pirt, S. J. A kinetic study of the mode of growth of surface colonies of bacteria and fungi. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **47**, 181–197 (1967).
- Vulin, C. et al. Growing yeast into cylindrical colonies. *Biophys. J.* 106, 2214–2221 (2014).
- Pipe, L. Z. & Grimson, M. J. Spatial-temporal modelling of bacterial colony growth on solid media. *Mol. Biosyst.* 4, 192–198 (2008).
- 62. Díaz-Pascual, F. et al. Spatial alanine metabolism determines local growth dynamics of colonies. *eLife* **10**, e70794 (2021).
- Mülleder, M. et al. A prototrophic deletion mutant collection for yeast metabolomics and systems biology. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **30**, 1176–1178 (2012).
- 64. Opalek, M. & Wloch-Salamon, D. Aspects of multicellularity in yeast: a review of evolutionary and physiological mechanisms. *Genes (BASEL)* **11**, 690 (2020).
- Cáp, M., Stěpánek, L., Harant, K., Váchová, L. & Palková, Z. Cell differentiation within a yeast colony: metabolic and regulatory parallels with a tumor-affected organism. *Mol. Cell* 46, 436–448 (2012).
- Palková, Z. & Váchová, L. Spatially structured yeast communities: understanding structure formation and regulation with omics tools. Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J. 19, 5613–5621 (2021).
- Wilkinson, D. et al. Transcriptome remodeling of differentiated cells during chronological ageing of yeast colonies: new insights into metabolic differentiation. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2018, 4932905 (2018).
- 68. Traven, A. et al. Transcriptional profiling of a yeast colony provides new insight into the heterogeneity of multicellular fungal communities. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e46243 (2012).
- Varahan, S., Walvekar, A., Sinha, V., Krishna, S. & Laxman, S. Metabolic constraints drive self-organization of specialized cell groups. *eLife* 8, e46735 (2019).
- Varahan, S., Sinha, V., Walvekar, A., Krishna, S. & Laxman, S. Resource plasticity-driven carbon-nitrogen budgeting enables specialization and division of labor in a clonal community. *eLife* 9, e57609 (2020).
- 71. Smukalla, S. et al. FLO1 is a variable green beard gene that drives biofilm-like cooperation in budding yeast. *Cell* **135**, 726–737 (2008).
- 72. Bojsen, R., Regenberg, B. & Folkesson, A. Saccharomyces cerevisiae biofilm tolerance towards systemic antifungals depends on growth phase. *BMC Microbiol.* **14**, 305 (2014).
- Mülleder, M., Bluemlein, K. & Ralser, M. A high-throughput method for the quantitative determination of free amino acids in by hydrophilic interaction chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2017**, db.prot089094 (2017).

- 74. Messner, C. B. et al. Ultra-fast proteomics with Scanning SWATH. Nat. Biotechnol. **39**, 846–854 (2021).
- 75. Messner, C. B. et al. The proteomic landscape of genome-wide genetic perturbations. Preprint at *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.17.492318 (2022).
- 76. Cox, J. et al. Accurate proteome-wide label-free quantification by delayed normalization and maximal peptide ratio extraction, termed MaxLFQ. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **13**, 2513–2526 (2014).
- MacLean, B. et al. Skyline: an open source document editor for creating and analyzing targeted proteomics experiments. *Bioinformatics* 26, 966–968 (2010).
- Virtanen, P. et al. SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nat. Methods* 17, 261–272 (2020).
- Raudvere, U. et al. g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, W191–W198 (2019).
- 80. Darzi, Y., Letunic, I., Bork, P. & Yamada, T. iPath3.0: interactive pathways explorer v3. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, W510–W513 (2018).
- Deutsch, E. W. et al. The ProteomeXchange consortium in 2020: enabling "big data" approaches in proteomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, D1145–D1152 (2020).
- 82. Perez-Riverol, Y. et al. The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D442–D450 (2019).
- Sharma, V. et al. Panorama Public: a public repository for quantitative data sets processed in Skyline. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 17, 1239–1244 (2018).

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Messner, M. White, F. Amari and S. Vernardis for help and advice with LC-MS measurements; S. Townsend and J. Hartl for helpful discussions; B. Heineike for many helpful discussions and critical reading of the manuscript and the Metabolomics STP at the Francis Crick Institute for producing preliminary data. The Francis Crick Institute receives its core funding from Cancer Research UK (no. FC001134), the UK Medical Research Council (no. FC001134) and the Wellcome Trust (no. FC001134). This work was supported by the Berlin University Alliance (no. 501 Massenspektrometrie, 501 Linklab, to M.R.) and the German Research Foundation (no. INST 335/797-1, to M.M., V.D. and M.R.). This work was further supported by the Ministry of Education and Research as part of the National Research Node 'Mass Spectrometry in Systems Medicine', under grant agreement nos. 161L0221 (to V.D.) and 031L0220 (to M.R.), and by the European Commission as part of CoBioTech project SyCoLim, funded by BMBF (no. 161B0931, to M.R.), the European Research Council (ERC) under grant agreement ERC-SyG-2020 951475 (to M.R.) and the Wellcome Trust (IA 200829/Z/16/Z) to M.R.

Author contributions

S.K. and M.R. conceived the study and wrote the manuscript. S.K. designed experiments, carried out microbial cultivations, performed targeted proteomics and metabolomics experiments, analysed data and prepared figures. C.C.-M. performed flow cytometry and FACS experiments and analysed data. L.S. performed DIA proteomics measurements. S.K.A. and V.D. contributed to data analysis. M.R., M.M. and J.B. supervised the study. All authors contributed to writing and editing of the manuscript.

Funding

Open access funding provided by Max Planck Society.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Article

Additional information

Extended data is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01304-8.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01304-8.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Markus Ralser.

Peer review information *Nature Microbiology* thanks Kevin Verstrepen, Jens Nielsen and Martin Pabst for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023



Extended Data Fig. 1 | **Producer/consumer dynamics in liquid batch cultures.** Targeted measurements of labelling states in peptides (Methods, introduced later in the main text) were applied to liquid batch cultures grown in SM media with 1% ¹³C glucose and 68 μM unlabelled lysine. Bar heights indicate the average relative abundance of peptides originating from lysine producer and consumer cells. The data shown are the average across the top three fragments for three measured peptides and four biological replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation. The relative abundances have been scaled to the OD of the culture

timepoint

at the time the sample was taken (that is the first sample was taken at an OD of approximately 0.6 and the final sample at approximately 2.5). The data shown indicate that initially almost all cells in the culture are lysine consumers. Then, a switch from uptake to synthesis occurs, indicated by the absolute abundance of consumer peptides not increasing after timepoint 1. Presumably, the lysine supplement has run out around the time the first sample has been taken and there is a net addition of only producer peptides.

Α

Digest	Peptide	Protein ID	Description	Fragments	CE
gluC	IW K VG K E	P06168	Ketol-acid reductoisomerase, mitochondrial	b4,y3,y4	20.8
gluC	K TQILQ K YLE	P32288	Glutamine synthetase	b4,y4,y5	19
gluC	K YSLAPVA K E	P00560	Phosphoglycerate kinase	b5,b7,y5	27.1
gluC	QA K LTAATNA K Q	P06169	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1	y7,y8,y9	30.5
gluC	TL K QLNASLAD K SYIE	P32471	Elongation factor 1-beta	y6,y7,y8	27.8
trypsin	VATTGEWD K LTQD K	P06169	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1	y3,y4,y5	24.4
trypsin	DLPNAD K ETDPF K	P06106	Homocysteine/cysteine synthase	y3,y5,y6	22.7
trypsin	LEITKEETLNPIIQDTK	P00817	Inorganic pyrophosphatase	y5,y7,y8	31









Extended Data Fig. 2 | **Targeted proteomics (Dataset 2). a**, Table listing peptides used for quantifying producer, consumer and mixed populations by targeted proteomics (PRM) assays. The Fragments column lists the top3 fragments not shared by the two isobaric mixed labelling state precursors, which were used for quantification. The CE column indicates the collision energies used, as predicted by Skyline. b, Similar results are obtained with quantification of intact precursors as with the top3 suitable fragments. Shown are all individual data points from Dataset 2. The Pearson correlation between both quantification strategies is 0.993. Quantification at MS1 level can be useful when the signal is low, as was the case for FAC-sorted populations shown in Fig. 4. c, Similar results are obtained when peptides with missed cleavages from tryptic digests are used. The data shown is from an independent experiment

otherwise similar to the one shown in Fig. 2. The data shown is the average of the top three fragments of three measured peptides across two biological replicates. Error bars show the standard deviation. **d**, Uncropped images of colonies grown in a 12-well plate (cropped version shown in Fig. 2A). Each day, the plate was scanned and a column of colonies was harvested (right to left), resulting in a pseudo timecourse. **e**, Targeted proteomics experiment investigating peptides with three lysine residues. Two biological replicate samples (200μ M lysine, 48 h) from the experiment shown in Fig. 2 were remeasured with a targeted method that included peptides with three lysine residues, as control). MS1 data was used for quantification. The depletion of the mixed labelling states is apparent also in peptides with three lysine residues.

Article





biological replicate) was harvested on three consecutive days (T1, T2, T3) and proteome samples prepared by tryptic digestion. MS2-level relative abundances were averaged across the top3 fragments of at least four peptides. Bar heights indicate the mean across fragments of all peptides, errorbars indicate the standard deviation. All underlying data is available through Panorama Public.





Extended Data Fig. 4 | Proteome-wide deconvolution of producer and consumer proteomes by DIA-PASEF (Dataset 3). a, Number of precursor IDs across samples. The progressive filters which were applied are shown in different colours. b, The median ratio of producer to consumer (heavy to light) peptides was highly consistent across biological replicates. c, After suitable quality filters were applied (in particular: Quantity.Quality > 0.7), log2-transformed labelling ratios between +2 and +3 charge states of the same peptide correlated tightly (Pearson r = 0.81). (D) Similarly, log2-transformed labelling ratios of different proteotypic, tryptic peptides from the same protein correlated tightly (Pearson r = 0.88). This analysis was restricted to +2 charged precursors, identified across all samples. In cases where more than two precursors were found for a protein, an arbitrary pair was selected. e, To further test the robustness of the analytical approach, a pooled sample was mixed with fully ¹³C-labelled peptides at different ratios. Identical quality filters were applied as before. The number of light precursors (¹²C-lysine) identified decreases with dilution and virtually no light peptides are wrongly identified in the fully labelled matrix. **f**, Detected abundances of light peptides (relative to abundance in undiluted sample) behave proportionally to the dilution factor. Error bars show median absolute deviation across all peptides identified in the sample. **g**, The original labelling ratios can be recovered with reasonable accuracy (the Pearson correlation coefficient is shown) even upon three-fold dilution into heavy matrix although at low dilutions there is a tendency to overestimate ratios and the observed ratios show a lower degree of variance (compression of ratios) compared to what is expected.



Extended Data Fig. 5 | **Hit calling and GO enrichment (Dataset 3 continued). a**, Volcano plot illustrating hit calling at the peptide level (one-sample, two-sided t-test, corrected for multiple testing by Benjamini-Hochberg method, further described in Methods). **b**, Volcano plot illustrating hit calling at the gene level, as described in the main text. **c**, Barplot illustrating the number of measured precursor pairs per protein for all proteins in the dataset (blue) and only the proteins found to be differentially expressed (orange). The hit frequency (grey) is approximately constant, indicating that proteins with fewer identified peptides

(less reliably measured) are not more likely to be a hit. **d**, CellPlot illustrating GO enrichment analysis of all genes differentially expressed in producers and consumers. GO enrichment was performed in gProfiler, using all measured proteins as the background. Only significant ($p_{adj} < 0.05$, using the <u>g_SCS</u> method of gProfiler) terms are shown. Recall refers to the fraction of genes annotated to the term and present in the background which were a hit in Dataset 2, the intersection is their absolute number.



Extended Data Fig. 6 | Comparative analysis of producer/consumer proteome signatures. Shown are pairwise correlation plots (as scatter plots and hexbin plots to visualise strong responders and the general trend respectively) for different datasets collected in this study, as well as a dataset that describes

proteome response to diauxie (calculated from data published by Murphy et al. 2015). The Pearson correlation is indicated above each plot. All datasets consist of log₂-transformed fold changes. The distribution and total number of data points is shown in histograms on the diagonal.



Extended Data Fig. 7 | **Targeted proteomic analysis of producer/consumer status of live/dead sorted populations after amphotericin B treatment. a**, Plots illustrating gating strategy for FACS of cells originating from heterogeneous ¹³C-labelled colonies challenged with amphotericin B and stained with propidium iodide (Pl). 10,000 events were recorded to check viability and gating of each sample, after which a minimum of 4 million cells were sorted per population. Singlets were gated based on FSC-A (forward scattered channel - area) and FSC-H (forward scattered channel - height) intensities. Conservative live and dead population gates were defined based on the FSC-A and 610 nm channels. **b**, Quantification of producer and consumer peptides in live and dead populations obtained by FAC-sorting. Each plot shows the data for a single peptide, quantified at the precursor level using a targeted proteomics (PRM) workflow. Dead cell populations consistently contain fewer peptides originating from producer cells.



Extended Data Fig. 8 | Flow cytometric cell viability analysis of resuspended colonies grown with and without lysine after challenge with amphotericin **B.** 20,000 events were recorded per sample (fewer for the controls). Singlets were gated based on FSC-A (forward scattered channel - area) and FSC-H (forward scattered channel - height) intensities. The median singlet rate across



the 24 samples was 95.8% with a minimum of 84.4%. Live and dead population gates were defined based on the live and dead controls using FSC-A and 670 nm channels. Identical gates were applied to all samples. Three samples are shown as examples.

250K

250K



Extended Data Fig. 9 | Flow cytometric cell viability analysis of resuspended top and bottom layers of colonies challenged with amphotericin B. Thirty thousand events were recorded per sample (fewer for the controls). Singlets were gated based on FSC-A (forward scattered channel - area) and FSC-H (forward scattered channel - height) intensities. The median singlet rate across

the 24 samples was 92.8% with a minimum of 90.5%. Live and dead population gates were defined based on the live and dead controls using FSC-A and 670 nm channels. Identical gates were applied to all samples. Three samples are shown as examples.

Extended Data Table. 1 | Overview of mass spectrometry datasets generated in this study

Dataset	Description	Туре	Carbon source	Supplement	Acquisition	Accession
Dataset 1	Free intracellular amino acids switching curves	Colony	1% ¹³ C glucose	Various lysine concentrations	Targeted metabolomics (MRM)	
Dataset 2	Peptide-based switching curves with timecourse	Colony	1% ¹³ C glucose	Various lysine concentrations	Targeted proteomics (PRM)	panoramaweb.org/ DILAC.url
Dataset 3	Deconvoluted producer/consumer proteome profiles of stable subpopulations	Colony	1% ¹³ C glucose	200µM lysine	DIA-PASEF, 90 minute gradient	PXD037508
Dataset 4	Effect of lysine supplementation on whole- colony proteome	Colony	1% ¹² C glucose	With and without 400µM lysine	DIA-SWATH, 20 minute gradient	PXD030702
Dataset 5	Top/bottom layer proteomes (separated with cell scraper)	Colony	1% ¹² C glucose	None	DIA-SWATH, 20 minute gradient	PXD033395

nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Markus Ralser

Last updated by author(s): Dec 6, 2022

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	\square	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
\boxtimes		A description of all covariates tested
	\square	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	\boxtimes	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.
\ge		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	\boxtimes	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

 Policy information about availability of computer code

 Data collection
 Proteomics data acquisition - Sciex Analyst Instrument Control Software v1.7.1 for TripleTOF 6600

 Proteomics data acquisition - Bruker Instrument Control Software for timsTOF Pro2 v1.1.19 68

 Metabolomics data acquisition - Agilent MassHunter Instrument Control Software for QQQ 6470 v8.07.000

 Flow cytometry data acquisition - DIVA Instrument Control Software v8.0.1 (BD Instruments)

 Data analysis
 Proteomics data analysis - DIA-NN v 1.8

 Metabolomics data analysis - Agilent MassHunter Quantitative Analysis for QQQ v8.07.00

 General data analysis - Python v3.7

 Flow Cytometry - FlowJo v10.3.0

 GO enrichment - gProfiler (gprofiler-official v1.0.0)

 Gene expression visualisation - iPATH v3.0

 GO enrichment visualisation - CellPlot v1.0

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about <u>availability of data</u>

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

9 Extended Data Figures and 1 Extended Data Table are provided with this manuscript.

7 Supplementary Datasets are supplied in xlsx format.

For DIA experiments: Raw data, DIA-NN pipelines, log and report files, as well as code used for analysis have been deposited to ProteomeXchange via PRIDE with the following accessions:

- PXD037508 (Dataset 3 and spike experiment shown in Extended Data Fig. E-G)

- PXD030702 (Dataset 4)

- PXD033395 (Dataset 5)

For targeted proteomics experiments: Skyline files, raw data and Jupyter notebooks containing code used for analysis and plotting have been deposited to Panorama Public and ProteomeXchange: panoramaweb.org/DILAC.url (doi:10.6069/s9b3-zz35) and PXD036959.

This manuscript relied on the following public databases and datasets:

- Quantitative changes in gene expression between post-diauxic growth compared to early exponential growth (Fig. 3E+F and Extended Data Fig. 64B) - Taken from Supplementary Data 1 of Murphy et al. (2015) "Comprehensive Temporal Protein Dynamics during the Diauxic Shift in Saccharomyces cerevisiae" Mol. Cell. Proteomics. DOI: 10.1074/mcp.M114.045849

- Yeast reference proteome UP000002311 - obtained from uniprot.org

- Yeast pathway database - pathway.yeastgenome.org (accessed 8.9.2021)

- GO annotation and gene ID database - gProfiler (biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler, accessed 8.9.2021)

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender	NA
Population characteristics	NA
Recruitment	NA
Ethics oversight	NA

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

🔀 Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	We determined required sample sizes by statistical power calculations. For differential gene expression testing (Dataset 3), with a typical CV of 10% in proteomics experiments, 6 replicates are sufficient to detect a 2-fold difference in expression with a power of >99%, even after Bonferroni correction for the number of genes in the yeast proteome (approx 6000).
Data exclusions	For the experiment investigating colony sub-populations (Dataset 3, Figure 3), 2 out of 8 samples were excluded from the analysis (one had a low number of IDs and one a median ratio of labelled to unlabelled peptides which differed substantially from the other 7). This is stated in the Methods section. Exclusion criteria were not pre-established but exclusion of (this small number) of samples was done before any down-stream analysis such as differential gene expression testing.
Replication	Statistical analyses and conclusions are supported by sufficient replicates, both within each experiment, as well as through independent

Replication	experiments (performed on different days). The key finding of Figure 2 (that lysine producer/consumer subpopulations exist in colonies) is supported by an independent experiment, as well as orthogonal data analysis approaches (shown in Supplementary Figure 2). The key findings of Figure 3 (diauxie-like heterogeneity) and Figure 4 (metabolic heterogeneity confers differential resistance to amphotericin B) are supported by independent and orthogonal experiments further exploring underlying mechanisms.
Randomization	No group allocation took place.
Blinding	No group allocation took place.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
\boxtimes	Antibodies	\boxtimes	ChIP-seq
\boxtimes	Eukaryotic cell lines		Flow cytometry
\boxtimes	Palaeontology and archaeology	\boxtimes	MRI-based neuroimaging
\boxtimes	Animals and other organisms		
\boxtimes	Clinical data		
\boxtimes	Dual use research of concern		

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

🔀 The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

 \square All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation	 Flow Cytometry Cell death was assessed using the LIVE/DEAD[™] Fixable Far Red Dead Cell Stain Kit, for 633 or 635 nm excitation (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat no. L10120) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were then sonicated for 20 s at 50W (JSP Ultrasonic Cleaner model US21) to increase singlets efficiency, and 250 μL were transferred to a 96-well plate for HPT-FC analysis. FACS Cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in PBS. Prior to FACS, cells were sonicated for 20 s at 50W (JSP Ultrasonic Cleaner model US21) to increase singlets efficiency. Cells were then stained with 8 μg/mL propidium iodine, to identify live and dead cells, prior to FACS.
Instrument	Flow Cytometry Fortessa X20 Flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) FACS Aria Fusion (BD Biosciences)
Software	BD FACSDiva (v8.0.1) for instrument control and sorting FlowJo v10.3.0
Cell population abundance	FOR FACS, at least 4 million cells were present in each sorted population. As sorted populations were immediately processed for proteomics and conservative gating was used, no post-sorting purity check was required.
Gating strategy	FSC-A vs SSC-A was used to identify singlet cells. Gating for cell viability was done based on live (fresh yeast cells) and dead (cells incubated at 90°C for 10 minutes) as well as unstained control samples.

🔀 Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.